IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:14-CV-00954-LCB-JLW

STUDENTS FOR FAIR ADMISSIONS, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA, et al.,

Defendants.

DEPOSITION OF JENNIFER KRETCHMAR

TAKEN AT THE OFFICES OF: UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA AT CHAPEL HILL 222 East Cameron Avenue 110 Bynum Hall Chapel Hill, NC 27514

> 03-07-17 8:51 A.M.

Michael B. Lawrence Court Reporter

Civil Court Reporting, LLC P.O. Box 1146 Clemmons, NC 27012 (336) 406-7684

EXHIBIT L

1 Α. No. 2 Can you say whether or not Lynn actually Q. 3 posted those things for review? 4 Α. Not off -- not from memory. 5 Then it also says, "The group reviewed Ο. 6 the outcomes listed above and added GPA." Do you 7 It's the last line. see that? 8 Α. Yes. 9 So the -- is the outcomes -- the number 10 three of the outcomes most interested in 11 measuring? 12 Α. I guess, but that's how I understand it. 13 And is that referring to GPA --0. 14 projected first-year GPA or the GPA of the 15 admitted class in high school? 16 Α. I couldn't say for sure. 17 Okay. Do you know whether or not GPA 0. 18 was something that was measured as part of the 19 Working Group's analysis? 20 Α. I don't believe it was. 21 0. Are you familiar with any data regarding 22 the predictive effect of high school GPA on 23 college academic performance? 24

25

Α.

0.

And what's your understanding of that

Generally, yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

| 1 | ٦ | re | ے | 2 | r | ٦1 | h | 2 |
|---|---|----|-------|---|-----|----|---|---|
| _ | | | _ | a | ┸ ' | | L | • |

- A. That past performance is a pretty good predictor of future performance.
- Q. In other words, a high high school GPA is correlated with a high college GPA.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Do you know what -- do you know anything about its relative predictability as opposed to standardized testing?
- A. I couldn't recall off the top of my head. I -- I remember looking at some reports produced by the college board that looked at relative weights from national samples.
- Q. Do you remember what the -- what the conclusion was with respect to those two factors?
- A. From my recollection performance is one of the highest.
- Q. And performance in terms of -- in terms of GPA or test scores?
 - A. Oh, GPA.
- Q. GPA is better than test scores is your recollection?
- A. Yes. They're both predictive but in terms of weights. That's my recollection.
- 25 (Off-record comments)

| 1 | Q. I'm going to mark this as Exhibit 21. | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | (EXHIBIT NUMBER 21 WAS MARKED) | | | | |
| 3 | (Witness examined document) | | | | |
| 4 | A. Okay. | | | | |
| 5 | Q. Okay. Do you recognize this exchange? | | | | |
| 6 | A. I don't remember it, but | | | | |
| 7 | Q. It's an email exchange between you and | | | | |
| 8 | Mr. Farmer in September 2014? | | | | |
| 9 | A. Correct. | | | | |
| 10 | Q. And it's referencing a leadership group | | | | |
| 11 | meeting. | | | | |
| 12 | A. Yes. | | | | |
| 13 | Q. What's the leadership group? | | | | |
| 14 | A. It's a now defunct group of I think | | | | |
| 15 | it was the senior assistant directors. Anyone at | | | | |
| 16 | the senior assistant director level met on a | | | | |
| 17 | monthly basis to discus leadership. | | | | |
| 18 | Q. And is the email you're responding to | | | | |
| 19 | here a list of sort of Mr. Farmer laying out what | | | | |
| 20 | he thought should be the Admissions Office's | | | | |
| 21 | priorities in the coming year? | | | | |
| 22 | MR. SCUDDER: Objection. | | | | |
| 23 | A. I'm not sure he spec I'm not sure it | | | | |
| 24 | specifically lays out the our priorities here | | | | |
| 25 | in this email. | | | | |